



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/713,479	11/15/2000	William Romine	QSOFT.050A	3574
20995 7590 10/29/2007 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			EXAMINER LE, DEBBIE M	
			ART UNIT 2168	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 10/29/2007	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09713479	11/15/00	ROMINE ET AL.	QSOF0.050A

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER

DEBBIE M. LE

ART UNIT

PAPER

2168

20071002

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

This is a letter to inform you that we made a minor change to the previous Examiner's Answer to add section (11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix. Please see attached sheet (page 8) of the Examiner's Answer.

Debbie M. Le

DEBBIE LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/2/07

Art Unit: 2168

system to reduce locking overhead and increase concurrency during database operations requiring access, as suggested by Vagnozzi (col. 15, lines 35-38).

IV.) Pereira combination with Friske and Vagnozzi teach every element of claims 2, 8, 21, 25, 37, 41 and 49.

1.) Appellant alleges that Pereira reference does not teach applying a partial lock to a reorganized object during a reorganization process because Pereira patent's disclosed use of two locks on a single table does not allow for such continue access. As discussed in the preceding above, Friske and Vagnozzi are combined teaching the claimed limitation applying partial lock to reorganized table during a reorganized process. Accordingly, the combination of Friske, Vagnozzi and Pereira teaches every limitations of each rejected claim.

2.) Appellant alleges that Pereira does not teach keeping a reorganized table accessible while substituting the reorganized table for an original table. As discussed in the preceding above, Friske and Vagnozzi are combined teaching the claimed limitation the reorganized table remains continuously accessible during the database substitution. Thus, the combination of Friske, Vagnozzi and Pereira teaches every limitations of each rejected claim.

(11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix

None